

Ampolsk, Brian: Sorry I appear not to have made clear what if anything I wanted returned. If I don't indicate, you should be safe in assuming return not necessary. The mark on what you returned was a key to myself when I filed, where to file. I had an enormous accumulation at that time and went over it, put it in a box, and then, after going over all, separated and filed. I also filed dupes, so I had a system of encircling main file, underlining subsidiaries.... Some of Ampolsk's special knowledge I knew. I wondered if all and I could see no reason for father not giving release to shrink to keep his son in clear and out of contention of which Garrison was capable, or at least letting shrink decide. I think with him as with the son of your friend, talking to someone like me could have had the most beneficial medical results, for LHO killed nobody. If I'm ever out there and your friends want to talk to me, I'd like to. I know of other cases, also on the bright, but of pre-existing psycho conditions. I think in some cases, maybe that of your friend's son, you put it well to say bottom dropped out. Isn't that feeling of futility enough, given the attitude the young developed to JFK? It is, in Ampolsk's case, probable he could identify LHO associates and knew of an older person nearby photographing, that he knew of other LHO "demonstrations", and if nothing else, the FBI report discloses it knew more of LHO's activities than WR disclosed. You should understand that LHO made regular, repeated efforts in the same small area of N.O., I think designed to accomplish what happened. I have countless, solid sources of this and there were many, many who remained silent or whose reports were withheld by FBI. Some, I know, were not interviewed. I suspect they provided photographer. Remember, they withheld from WC even knowledge that they had two amateur films of the arrest alone and edited the WDSU footage of the ITM picketing. Most likely is that FBI personnel in pix. HW 1/24/73